Vaccinal effect of anti-HIV-1 antibodies



Ole Schmeltz Søgaard, Professor and MD Dept of Infectious Diseases, Aarhus University Hospital, Denmark

Can bNAbs do more than ART?

bNAbs can engage innate immune effector cells and facilitate killing of infected cells



bNAb mediated enhancement of HIV-specific adaptive immunity?



AARHUS UNIVERSITY Caskey et al. Nature, 2015; Halper-Stromberg and Nussenzweig JCI 2016; Nishimura et al Nature 2017

Antibody:antigen immune complexes



Adapted from Wen et al. NPJ 2019

Impact of antibody:antigen ratio

	HIGH ANTIGEN LOAD	LOW ANTIGEN LOAD
bNAb administration	E.g. at ART initiation	E.g. during ART or into ATI
Plasma viremia	High (10 ⁴ -10 ⁷ c/mL)	< 50 c/mL
HIV antigen in blood and tissue	Abundent	Very low
Opportunity for antibody:antigen immune complex formation	High	Low to modest
Risk of resistance development to bNAbs	No	Yes





Studies of nAbs/bNAbs administration in a <u>high</u> antigen load setting

Investigations into HIV/SIV antibodies as treatment for almost 30 years



Group	Animal	Clinical signs	Week of euthanasi
SIVIG	196	CD4 decline: wasting	18
	204	Wasting and diarrhea	20
	176	Healthy	_
	185	Healthy	
	191	Healthy	
	199	Healthy	
Normal 1G	192	Wasting and diarrhea	20
	184	Wasting and diarrhea; CD4 decline; ataxia	42
	104	Lymphadenopathy; pneumonia	43
	88	Wasting and diarrhea; CD4 decline	52
	186	Persistent (>40 week) rash; secondary infections	
	200	Healthy	
Untreated	213	Wasting and diarrhea; involution of lymphoid tissue	36
	195	CD4 decline; pulmonary thromboembolism	67
	182	CD4 decline; respiratory distress	
	363	CD4 decline: diarrhea	

Neutralizing anti-SIV-Abs during primary infection

Total IgG was purified from the plasma of a single animal infected with SIV and surviving more than 6 years without signs of AIDS.

Infused IgG delayed binding antibody and accelerated Nab production.

Haigwood et al. JVI 2004



Neutralizing antibodies

Passive iv infusion with 300 mg of polyclonal anti-SIV neutralizing IgG at day 7 post-infection with mac239.



Enhanced SIV-specific T cell responses





Iseda et al. JVI, 2016, Yamamoto et al. JVI 2009

Development of bNAbs against HIV-1



Spencer et al, Frontiers Public Health 2021



Frattari et al. Current Opi HIV/AIDS 2023

ART or bNAbs dosed in acute SHIV_{ad08} infection

Earsynderekssisepplyeaspyl

And leads to longterm control in some animals



bNAbs dosed in acute SHIV_{ad08} infection

а b 108 108 108 MVJ DEMR DEWL 107 107 10 10⁶ 10 106 10⁵ 105 10 104 104 104 Viral RNA copies (ml⁻¹) 10³ 10³ 10³ 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 200 0 0 0 400 600 800 1,000 d е f 10⁸ 10⁸ 10⁸ DFIK DEWP MAF 107 107 107 10⁶ 106 106 10⁵ 105 105 104 104 104

0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1,000

Time (days after infection)

10³

200 300 400 500 600 700

Anti-CD8α treatment Anti-CD8β treatment

10³

10²

0

100

CD8 T cell depletion leads to viral rebound

Nishimura et al. Nature 2017

100

200

300

400

500

600

10³

0

Induction of autologous tier-2 nAbs



The absolute change in neutralizing activity varied between viruses and individuals, ranging from small effects to dramatic increases

Schoofs et al. Science 2016

eCLEAR trial design (open label RCT)



Pre-ART sensitivity to 3BNC117 (plasma)

3BNC group: 8/15 (53%) sensitive

		Mono Pheno	gram Sense	<i>env</i> sequencing	
	Participant ID	IC90	MPI	Sensitive/ total	Assessment
	109			33/33	Sensitive
	135			31/31	Sensitive
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	98.0	-	Sensitive	
		-	30/31	Sensitive	
(01		99.8	-	Sensitive	
(u=		0.18	99.5	-	Sensitive
11/	701	0.92	99.7	-	Sensitive
	703	0.30	99.9	-	Sensitive
-3B	106	2.48	99.1	-	Resistant
4T+	125	1.63	97.2	-	Resistant
A	126	2.10	97.8	-	Resistant
	205	3.41	97.0	-	Resistant
	401	4.15	98.2	-	Resistant
	404	1.88	99.0	-	Resistant
	704	>50	44.2	-	Resistant

3BNC/RMD group: 10/16 (63%) sensitive

		Monogram PhenoSense		<i>env</i> sequencing					
-	Participant ID	IC90	MPI	Sensitive/ total	Assessment				
	103	0.96	99.2	-	Sensitive				
	107 0.25 99.9			-	Sensitive				
	116	0.34	99.9	-	Sensitive				
	130	-	-	33/33	Sensitive				
=16	203	1.22	99.6	-	Sensitive				
ü	212	0.67	99.9	-	Sensitive				
MD	303	0.20	99.6	-	Sensitive				
7+R	304	0.74	99.9	-	Sensitive				
	408	0.15	99.5	-	Sensitive				
BN	706	0.80	99.7	-	Sensitive				
+3	112	>50	08.6	-	Resistant				
AR]	301	4.51	98.8	-	Resistant				
7	308	3.09	94.6	-	Resistant				
	402	-	-	7/35	Resistant				
	411	3.22	99.3	-	Resistant				
	709	7.44	96.3	-	Resistant				

Monogram Phenosense 3BNC117 sensitivity. Sensitive defined IC90<1.5 ug/mLAND MPI≥98 as agreed on consensus meeting in Nov 2019 In case of "Inconlusive" Phenosense result, SGA was performed to obtain ≥30 individual full length Env sequences. Follow sequincing, sensitivity was predicted using the Nussenzweig Lab machine learning algorithm,

Plasma HIV RNA kinetics



AARHUS UNIVERSITY Gunst et al. Nature Med 2022

3 phase pVL decay mixed-effects model



3 phase pVL decay mixed-effects model

	Phase (days)	1 (0-10)	95%-CI	Р	2 (10-24)	95%-CI	Р	3 (24-90)	95%-CI	Р
	ART	-41.1	(-55.2;-22,7)	ref	-10.0	(-14.9;-4.86)	ref	-3.19	(-4.75;-1.61)	ref
erall	ART+3BNC117	-43.7	(-71.1;9.66)	0.823	-16.4	(-27.0;-4.29)	0.070	-3.09	(-6.54;0.49)	0.918
Ŏ	ART+RMD	-36.2	(-67.5;25.2)	0.694	-18.5	(-29.0;-6.51)	0.017	-3.03	(-6.54;0.61)	0.875
	ART+3BNC117+RM	D -36.5	(-67.3;23.2)	0.706	-16.9	(-27.3;-4.93)	0.048	-2.70	(-6.19;0.92)	0.622



Pre-ART bNAb sensitivity impacts pVL



3 phase pVL decay mixed-effects model

	Phase (days)	1 (0-10)	95%-CI	Р	2 (10-24)	95%-CI	Р	3 (24-90)	95%-CI	Р
	ART	-41.1	(-55.2;-22,7)	ref	-10.0	(-14.9;-4.86)	ref	-3.19	(-4.75;-1.61)	ref
erall	ART+3BNC117	-43.7	(-71.1;9.66)	0.823	-16.4	(-27.0;-4.29)	0.070	-3.09	(-6.54;0.49)	0.918
0ve	ART+RMD	-36.2	(-67.5;25.2)	0.694	-18.5	(-29.0;-6.51)	0.017	-3.03	(-6.54;0.61)	0.875
	ART+3BNC117+RMD	-36.5	(-67.3;23.2)	0.706	-16.9	(-27.3;-4.93)	0.048	-2.70	(-6.19;0.92)	0.622
itive	ART+3BNC117	-38.9	(-62.1;-1.41)	0.714	-17.9	(-28.8;-5.16)	0.045	-4.02	(-7.80;-0.07)	0.488
Sens	ART+3BNC117+RMD	-36.2	(-60.1;1.91)	0.556	-17.9	(-28.8;-5.28)	0.042	-2.81	(-6.64;1.17)	0.739
stant	ART+3BNC117	-48.8	(-69.0;-15.5)	0.629	-14.7	(-26.0;-1.58)	0.247	-2.02	(-5.87;1.98)	0.327
Resis	ART+3BNC117+RMD	-37.0	(-61.7;3.39)	0.631	-15.1	(-26.2;-2.28)	0.198	-2.51	(-6.48;1.64)	0.568



Effect of 3BNC on HIV^{p24+} CD4 T cells

Fold change in HIV^{p24+} cells day 0 to day 10





The frequency of Gag-specific CD8+ T cells was significantly higher in individuals receiving 3BNC117





Rosas-Umbert et al., 2022 Nature Comm

HIV-specific CD8+ T cell responses at 3 and 12 months correlated with baseline 3BNC117 sensitivity

Pol-specific CD8 responses at 3 m



Gag-specific INF-g responses at 12 m



Rosas-Umbert et al., 2022 Nature Comm

Analytical treatment interruption (12 weeks)



AARHUS UNIVERSITY

- 20 participants consented to interrupt ART
- Start of ATI ≥400 days after starting ART
- Weekly pVL and CD4
 count
- Viral rebound defined as two consecutively pVLs >5,000 c/mL

ATI: 3BNC-treated individuals only (+/-RMD)



ID107 remains off treatment with undetectable pVL 5 years after stopping ART



ATI – 3BNC sensitive (+/-RMD) vs others

3BNC sensitive vs resistant

3BNC sensitive vs all other ATI participants





Studies of nAbs/bNAbs administration in a low antigen load setting

bNAbs in ART-suppressed NHPs with SHIV

Pol







A phase 1 trial of PGT121 in viremic individuals



CD8 responses in 2 controllers at day 84





Stephenson et al, Nature Med 2021

Effect of 3BNC117 and romidepsin on the HIV-1 reservoir in people taking suppressive antiretroviral therapy (ROADMAP): a randomised, open-label, phase 2A trial

Henning Gruell*, Jesper D Gunst*, Yehuda Z Cohen*, Marie H Pahus, Jakob J Malin, Martin Platten, Katrina G Millard, Martin Tolstrup, R Brad Jones, Winnifer D Conce Alberto, Julio C C Lorenzi, Thiago Y Oliveira, Tim Kümmerle, Isabelle Suárez, Cecilia Unson-O'Brien, Lilian Nogueira, Rikke Olesen, Lars Østergaard, Henrik Nielsen, Clara Lehmann, Michel C Nussenzweig, Gerd Fätkenheuer, Florian Klein, Marina Caskey, Ole S Søgaard



ROADMAP study (Romidepsin +/- 3BNC117)

- Collaboration between The Rockefeller University, Aarhus and Cologne University hospitals
- Study population (n=22): (Chronically infected) persons on stable longcART
- Primary endpoint: Time to rebound





Gruell et al., 2022 Lancet Microbe

Reservoir size, CTLs and viral rebound

Total HIV-1 DNA

HIV-specific CTL responses



Study design: Randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial

ART						AR1	⁻ interu	ption-									→
Placebo ∜/ placebo ♡♡ (n=11)				Û	↓	Û	Û	∇	Ţ.	Û							
Lefitolimod ↓/ placebo ♡♡ (n=11)				ŧ	↓	ŧ	ŧ	V V	+	•]	*******		22244.			
Placebo ♡/ bNAb▼▼(n=12)			Û	Û	Ţ.	Û	Û	↓ ▼	Û	Ţ.]						
Lefitolimod↓/ bNAb▼▼ (n=12)		ţ	ŧ	•	ŧ	ŧ	↓ ↓	+	+]							
bNAb sensitivity	Time a	after ART interuption (weeks)	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	9	11	13	/	1 25
genotypic)	Clinica	l safety	0		0			0			0				0	0	0
at screening:	Plasma	a HIV-1 RNA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2 to 20 weeks	Serum	bNAb concentration			0						0				0		0
prior to	Intact	HIV-1 proviruses (ddPCR)			0						0				0		0
trial start HI		HIV-1-specific immunity (AIM)			0						0				0		0
Interventions Lefitolimod (120 mg s.c.)			.c.)	bNA	Ab	3BN 7 Plac	C117 ebo fo	(30 m or 3Bl	ng/kg NC112	i.v.) 7 (i.v.)	▼ 10- ⊽ Pla	1074 cebo	(20 m for 10	ng/kg)-1074	i.v.) 4 (i.v.)		

• Primary endpoint: Time to viral rebound (>1,000 c/mL for 4 weeks or x2 >100,000 c/mL)



Time to viral rebound



Time (weeks after ART interuption)

bNAb

Interventions Lefitolimod (120 mg s.c.)

▼ 3BNC117 (30 mg/kg i.v.) ▼ 10-1074 (20 mg/kg i.v.) ∨ Placebo for 3BNC117 (i.v.) ∨ Placebo for 10-1074 (i.v.)

Time to viral rebound





Impact of TLR9a on bNAb concentrations





HIV specific T cell immunity - AIM





Combining two bNAbs

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0531-2

Combination therapy with anti-HIV-1 antibodies maintains viral suppression

Pilar Mendoza^{1,19}, Henning Gruell^{2,3,4,19}, Lilian Nogueira¹, Joy A. Pai¹, Allison L. Butler¹, Katrina Millard¹, Clara Lehmann^{3,4,5}, Isabelle Suárez^{3,4,5}, Thiago Y. Oliveira¹, Julio C. C. Lorenzi¹, Yehuda Z. Cohen¹, Christoph Wyen^{3,6}, Tim Kümmerle^{3,6}, Theodora Karagounis¹, Ching-Lan Lu¹, Lisa Handl⁷, Cecilia Unson-O'Brierl¹, Roshni Patel¹, Carola Ruping², Maike Schlotz², Maggi Witmer -Pack¹, Irina Shimeliovich¹, Gisela Kremer³, Eleonore Thomas³, Kelly E. Seaton⁸, Jill Horowitz¹, Anthony P. West Ir⁹, Pamela I. Bjorkman⁹, Georgia D. Tomaras^{8,10,11,2}, Roy M. Gulick¹¹, Nico Pfeifer^{7,14,15,16}, Gerd Fätkenheuer^{3,4}, Michael S. Seaman¹⁷, Florian Klein^{2,4,5,20}*, Marina Caskey^{1,20}* & Michel C. Nussenzweig^{1,18,20}*





Median time to viral rebound: 21 Weeks (i.e. 15 weeks from last bNAb infusion)



Combination anti-HIV antibodies provide sustained virological suppression





Prolonged viral suppression with anti-HIV-1 antibody therapy



◆ Leukapheresis ▼ 10-1074 ▼ 3BNC117

Article



Sneller et al. Nature 2022

Gaebler et al. Nature 2022

Conclusions

- Some evidence of a HIV-1 bNAb-induced vaccinal effect
- HIGH antigen load setting:
 - Studies generally show FcγR-mediated boosting of adaptive immune responses
 - But magnitude of effect varies between individuals
- LOW antigen load setting:
 - Studies generally show limited or no FcγR-mediated boosting of adaptive immune responses
 - But some individuals might have some beneficial effects

