

Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis Product Choice of Participants in HPTN 083

M.E. Clement¹, Z. Wang², C.J. Fichtenbaum³, S. Thomas⁴, J.A. Bazan⁵, P. Richardson⁶, M.A. Spinelli⁷, B. Hanscom², A.R. Rinehart⁸, J.F. Rooney⁹, A. Adeyeye¹⁰, M. McCauley¹¹, A. Jennings¹², K. Gomez¹², M. Cohen¹³, B. Grinsztejn¹⁴, R.J. Landovitz¹⁵

¹Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, Infectious Diseases, New Orleans, United States, ²Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, United States, ³University of Cincinnati, Division of Infectious Diseases, Cincinnati, United States, ⁴University of California Los Angeles, UCLA Vine Street Clinic, Los Angeles, United States, ⁵The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Columbus, United States, ⁶Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Department of Pathology, Baltimore, United States, ⁷University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, United States, ⁸ViiV Healthcare, Research Triangle Park, United States, ⁹Gilead Sciences, Inc., Foster City, United States, ¹⁰U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, United States, ¹¹FHI 360, Washington DC, United States, ¹²FHI 360, Durham, United States, ¹³University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, Infectious Diseases, Chapel Hill, United States, ¹⁴Instituto Nacional de Infectologia Evandro Chagas-Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ¹⁵University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, United States

BACKGROUND

- HPTN 083 demonstrated superiority of long-acting injectable cabotegravir (CAB-LA) compared to daily oral tenofovir disoproxil fumarate-emtricitabine (TDF/FTC) for HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) in cisgender men and transgender women who have sex with men. In the study's open-label extension (OLE), participants were offered choice of CAB-LA or to complete study participation with TDF/FTC.
- This analysis reports OLE product choice for all participants by region to investigate presence of any geographic differences in product preference or rationale for product choice.
- In an analysis of product choice in the United States only, participants predominantly chose CAB-LA; these data have been previously presented.

Nearly all HPTN 083 global participants chose CAB-LA over oral TDF/FTC upon transition to the open-label extension phase of the study.

METHODS

- Product choice among participants overall and within four regions globally is described, along with reported reasons for regimen choice.
- Participants' reasons for declining OLE participation and for study termination are also reported.

RESULTS

- Among 4566 participants enrolled, 533 (11.7%) were ineligible for the OLE, 547 (12.0%) were lost-to-follow-up, and 431 (9.4%) terminated study participation.
- Additionally, 337 (7.4%) declined OLE participation and 126 (2.8%) remain OLE-eligible as of August 2023.
- Of the remaining 2592 participants entering the OLE, 2488 (96.0%) chose CAB-LA and 104 (4.0%) chose TDF/FTC.
- Among regions, the proportion choosing CAB-LA was similar: 1142/1193 (95.7%) in Latin America, 501/515 (97.3%) in Asia, 75/81 (92.6%) in Africa, and 770/803 (95.9%) in the United States.

CONCLUSIONS

- In the HPTN 083 OLE, nearly all participants globally chose CAB-LA over TDF/FTC.
- Participants' regimen choice was largely driven by general preference for injections or pills.
- Study visit fatigue was a large contributor to declined OLE participation and to premature study termination.
- Ensuring PrEP choices will be critical to continued optimization of PrEP uptake/persistence.

Table. Reported reasons for choosing an HIV PrEP regimen at the time of HPTN Open Label Extension Entry

	Overall (n=2592)	United States* (n=803)	Latin America (n= 1193)	Asia (n=515)	Africa (n=81)
Reason for choosing CAB-LA	n=2488 (%)	n=770 (%)	n=1142 (%)	n=501 (%)	n=75 (%)
Prefer injection and/or don't like pills	1774 (71.3)	541 (70.3)	784 (68.7)	405 (80.8)	44 (58.7)
CAB-LA shown to be superior to TDF/FTC for HIV prevention	431 (17.3)	112 (14.5)	237 (20.8)	78 (15.6)	4 (5.3)
CAB more convenient, discreet, or easier to adhere to	115 (4.6)	37 (4.8)	52 (4.6)	8 (1.6)	18 (24.0)
Other	168 (6.8)	80 (10.4)	69 (6.0)	10 (2.0)	9 (12.0)
Reason for choosing TDF/FTC	n=104 (%)	n=33 (%)	n=51 (%)	n=14 (%)	n=6 (%)
Don't like injections and/or prefer pills	63 (60.6)	17 (51.5)	30 (58.8)	12 (85.7)	4 (66.7)
The potential side effects of TDF/FTC are better understood or preferable to those of CAB-LA	10 (9.6)	4 (12.1)	4 (7.8)	1 (7.1)	1 (1.7)
Concerned about resistance if injectable PrEP fails	8 (7.7)	4 (12.1)	4 (7.8)	1 (7.1)	1 (1.7)
Other	23 (22.1)	8 (24.2)	13 (25.5)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)

*Includes previously reported United States Open Label Extension Product Choice Data

- Reported reasons for choosing CAB-LA or TDF/FTC are listed in the Table.
- Among those who declined the OLE, the most common reasons were lack of continued study interest (168/337, 49.9%), followed by participant having plans for relocation (71/337, 21.1%), preferring TDF/FTC but not eligible for study-provided TDF/FTC (33/337, 9.8%), or already accessing TDF/FTC through another mechanism (21/337, 6.2%).
- Only 8 (2.4%) declined due to injection site reactions.
- Among those who terminated prior to the OLE, the most common reasons were also lack of continued study interest (186/431, 43.2%), followed by scheduled exit visit (103/431, 23.9%), investigator decision (94/431, 21.8%), and participant having plans for relocation (43/431, 10.0%).

LIMITATIONS

These results should be interpreted with the lens that individuals preferring an oral PrEP regimen may have opted not to participate in HPTN 083.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the HPTN 083 participants and the study teams.